## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number (ROG-/04/1 HRL
Isus Salgado - Losse, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	\$ 21.42/0 is described in the second in the
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on
Assistant U.S. Attorney S. Knight.	The United States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
convicted of a minute formal description of the second sec	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	(1) While on release pending trial for a federal state or lose
offense, and a period of not more than five (3) years has elap	used since the date of conviction or the release of the person
imprisonment, whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no con	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
safety of any other person and the community.	
// There is probable cause based upon (the indictor	nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
detendant has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of imprison	nment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., g 951 et seq., or g 955a et seq., OR	
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firea	rm during the commission of a felony.
Inis establishes a rebuttable presumption that no con	idition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure t
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	community.
No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any ev	ridence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefo
will be ordered detained.	reasons to room and applicable presamption[s], and he therein
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to	o rebut the applicable presumptionful to wit.
	o result and approache presumption[s] to wit.
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United Sta	ates.
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR IN.	APPLICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance	of the evidence that no condition or combination of condition
vill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requ	tired AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convin	cing evidence that no condition or combination of condition
vill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the co	ommunity
ART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF I	REASONS FOR DETERMINAN
The Court has taken into account the factors set of	ut in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitte
hearing and finds as follows: Me defendant is	Market Watt I Suplated an all Co
His underweed had the hand	Compression a violation & USC ST.
Manual Samo Datio Lastle	me properting unvertiens
Investible to have a sold with	horores. Ne rosa pury
to the sex with a	LIMINON, HI has Holated parol
// Deforders his otto	
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived	d written findings.
ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	d written findings.  General or his designated representative for confinement in

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.